

NORRIS MCLAUGHLIN & MARCUS, PA

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

220 EAST 42ND STREET

30TH FLOOR

NEW YORK, NY 10017

(212) 808-0700

FAX: (212) 808-0844

WWW.NMMLAW.COM

RECEIVED

OCT 06 2003

OFFICE OF PETITIONS



NEW JERSEY OFFICE  
P.O. BOX 1018  
SOMERVILLE, NJ 08876-1018

Mr. James R. Curley  
Pirelli Cables and Systems LLC  
710 Industrial Drive  
Lexington, SC 29072

Re: High Fiber Count Optical Fiber Cable with  
Buffer Tubes Around Central Strength Member  
Our Ref: PCUS-059 (100087-157)

Dear Jim:

With reference to your letter of [REDACTED] and to my fax letter with enclosures to you and Pete Johnson dated [REDACTED], the figures for cables, other than cables of the invention, were taken from the Siecor article and in some cases, were supplied by Pete.

The reason that I need to have the tables checked by Pete is that some of the figures taken from the article differ from figures supplied by Pete.

In view of your desire to include a 5-position buffer tube cable with the 4-position buffer tube cable, I have revised the introductory portion of the specification and the claims sent to you previously. Enclosed is a copy of the revised portion of the specification and the revised claims. The changes suggested by Pete have been included.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
BEST AVAILABLE COPY


NORRIS, McLAUGHLIN & MARCUS, P.A.

Mr. James R. Curley

Page 2

Please have the enclosed considered promptly, and advise me whether or not changes are required. Also, please advise me if there are further reasons why the 5-position buffer tube cable is better than the other cables compatible with a 2 in. duct.

Cordially,



L. P. Brooks

LPB:in  
encl.

cc: Mr. P. Cinquemani w/o encl.  
Mr. J. Sach w/o encl.